#5

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re U.S. Patent Application of:)	Group Art Unit: 2172	RECEIVED
Jong Bum NA et al.)	Examiner: C. Chen	JUN 1 7 2005
Serial Number: 09/781,291)	Attorney Docket: NAJO30	$_0$ AFEICE OF PETITIONS
Filed: February 13, 2001)	Confirmation No.: 9466	
For: Optimal High-Speed Multi-l Database	Resolution	Retrieval Method On La	rge Capacity

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)

Honorable Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests revival of the above-identified application pursuant to 37 CFR §1.137(b).

The application became abandoned on December 30, 2004, for failure to submit a required response to an Office Action dated September 30, 2003. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on September 8, 2004.

The abandonment of the application for failure to prosecute was an unintentional delay. The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date until the filing of this petition was unintentional.

06/16/2005 SZEWDIE1 00000027 09781291

01 FC:2453

750.00 OP The following is an explanation of the delay:

During 2004, at least nine cases handled by my client, the Korean law firm Daeilpat International Patent & Law Firm, on behalf of Korea Advanced Institute Of Science And

Serial Number 09/781,291

Technology, became abandoned for failure to prosecute. The nine cases included five issue

fee payments.

In January, 2005, the undersigned received several faxes indicating that the

abandonments were unintentional and requesting revival of the applications. These included

a request for revival in the instant application, received on January 26, 2005. Prior to date,

between January 30, 2004 and January 26, 2005, I had received no communications from

the application concerning the application, despite a reminder sent on May 13, 2004.

Due to an unusually heavy workload resulting from the death of a partner in August,

2004 and the resignation of an associate in December, 2004, leaving the firm with only eight

attorneys in January, 2005, I have not been able to complete the required response until

today, June 15, 2005.

At no time did I believe that any of the claims of the above-identified application

were unpatentable, and at no time did my client indicate an intent to abandon the application.

The required reply, in the form of an Amendment and Response, is attached.

The application status is small entity. Enclosed is a check for the petition fee of

\$750.00.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

By: BENJAMIN E. URCIA

Registration No. 33,805

2

Serial Number 09/781,291

Date: June 15, 2005

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 Slaters Lane, 4th Floor Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Telephone: (703) 683-0500

NWB:S:\Producer\beu\Pending I...\P\N\NAJO 781291\137\pet.wpd